

## Interim and Long-Term RMP Revision Teams

### **1. RMP Eighth Year Evaluation Team**

Task assignment and time line:

Conduct and complete six RMP preliminary evaluations for field staff review by 12/20/03, and proposed final evaluation reports for approval by 01/30/04.

Significant Guidance: 1601 Manual, H-1601-1, Chapter V, VI, VII-3; IB Nos. OR. 2003-237, 2004-005

Team Leader: Eric Stone, Program Analyst/Acting Project Manager (until 12/20/03), OR-933

Team Members: Larry Larsen, Forester, OR-931  
George Buckner and/or Barbara Hill, Wildlife Biologists, OR-931  
Duane Dippon, ARD/GIS Specialist, OR-932  
Ron Price, Outdoor Recreation Specialist, OR-933  
Craig Mackinnon, Range Conservationist, OR-931  
Adjacent District Planners (as informally arranged by the team leader)

Time requirements: Estimated 2 months for team leader and 1 work-month/ OSO person, plus support  
Field staff support estimated at cumulative 4 work-months/DO for preparation, and review

### **2. Preplan Core Team**

Task assignment and time line:

Prepare/ assemble the preliminary preplan by January 30, 2004, the revised preplan by February 27, 2004, and the final preplan for State Director approval by March 30, 2004. Note that the preplan team products will require careful review by all applicable field managers as part of the preplanning development and approval process. This automatically brings into review all of the products and assumptions of the following teams.

Significant Guidance: H-1601-1, Appendices A-G; IB No. 2003-058, IM No. 2002-256; IM No. 2002-203; IM No. 2002-202; IM No. 2002-196; IM No. 2002-167; IM No. 2002-164, IM No. 2002-100; IM No. 2002-034

Team Leader: Project Manager, OR-933 (long term position selection pending)

Technical Coordinator: Leslie Frewing-Runyon, OR-933

Team Members: All other team leaders  
Paul Jeske, Salem  
Wayne Elliot, Eugene  
Phil Hall, Roseburg  
Don Hoffheins, Klamath Falls  
Christina McElroy, OR-933  
Donna Buchanan, OR-915 Budget staff

Time requirements: Core staff estimated 1-2 work month, plus administrative support  
Team members estimated 0.5-1 work month each Field staff support, including other Field  
Managers estimated at a cumulative 10 work-months/office for local component preparation,  
participation in inter-office meetings, and review of sections common to all offices

Assumptions:

1. Use the Baker and John Day Basin RMP preplans as templates
2. De-brief the ACS and S&M EIS Teams with RMP planners to identify lessons-learned and to determine their ramifications for the RMP revision effort
3. Define the purpose and need for the revisions based on the approved RMP evaluations
4. Define the planning area versus the analytical area (possible exclusion of Klamath Falls, etc.)
5. Identify preliminary resource objectives and planning criteria
6. Identify preliminary planning issues and anticipated scope/scale of decisions
7. Identify preliminary planning concerns and procedural or policy requirements
8. Identify preliminary data requirements and GIS support, based on issues and concerns
9. Identify anticipated analytical processes and potential cooperators or sources
10. Recommend to the State Director the RMP infrastructure (mix of District and OSO participants),
11. Describe the process, including published document formats, preliminary alternatives, review procedures, inter-office and inter-agency roles and the proposed schedule
12. Incorporate the communications plan to define the public notification process and identify the preliminary stakeholders
13. Develop a preliminary budget, including staff, facilities, publications and support
14. Identify potential roadblocks to future implementation.

### 3. Communications and Outreach

Task assignment and time line:

Prepare the preliminary intergovernmental coordination and public involvement portions of the communications and outreach plan for the Project Manager by December 20, 2003, the revised components by January 30 and the final components by February 27, to support the anticipated March 30, 2004 approval of the preplan. Long-term support in updating and modifying the communications plan, assisting in posting or publishing pertinent documents and reports is expected to continue through 2008.

Significant Guidance: H-1601-1, Appendices A-G; IB No. 2003-058; IM No. 2003-020, IM No. 2003-238; IM No, 2002-203; IM No. 2002-202

Team Leader: Elaine Raper , Coos Bay

Technical Coordinator: Jerry Magee, OR-933

Team Members: Trish Hogervorst, Salem  
Doug Huntington, Eugene  
William Freeland, Medford  
Alan Hoffmeister, Coos Bay  
Larry Frazier, Klamath Falls  
Jim Rounds, OR-957.2  
Cliff McClelland, OR-912

Time requirements: Estimated 1 work month/ person, plus administrative support for preplan phase

Assumptions:

1. Build on the 1986 RMP public involvement strategy
2. Develop a web-based strategy to inform, educate, and involve the public
3. Meet current or evolving WO standards for outreach and intergovernmental coordination
4. Use the Baker and John Day Basin RMP preplan Chapter IX and appendix Ds as templates

#### 4. Science

Task assignment and time line:

- 1) Develop an assessment of new science findings since the FEMAT effort that should be considered in the RMP revision process. In coordination with the other teams as appropriate, assess the implications of these new science findings to issues raised in the RMP evaluation process, and synthesize that information for the preplanning effort by January 30, 2004.
- 2) In collaboration with other teams and with scientists, describe and recommend options for use of new science or science synthesis activities to support RMP revision. Develop and provide cost estimates and submit recommendations to the Project Manager by February 27, 2004 to support the anticipated March 30, 2004 approval of the preplan.
- 3) Identify options for the participation of scientists in the RMP revision process. Include consideration of peer review and conduct of risk assessments by scientists, and potential ongoing review of the planning process for science consistency as options. Also describe how scientists would be selected or solicited for involvement and related cost implications. Submit a description of options and their potential on sequences to the Project Manager by February 27, 2004 to support the anticipated March 30, 2004 approval of the preplan.

Following preplan approval the team's work may continue through 2008. Close coordination with other teams is essential, especially the Data Management, Habitat Analysis, Silvicultural Practices/Timber Management and Hydrology/Riparian Teams.

Significant Guidance: H-1601-1, Appendices A-E; IB No. 2003-058; IM No. 2002-202

Team Leader: Nancy Diaz, OR-932

Technical Coordinator: John Cissel, OR-932

Team Members: Frank Price, Coos Bay  
Terry Johnson, OR-932  
Jim Alegria, OR-932  
Louisa Evers, OR-934  
Rosie Mazaika, OR-932

Time requirements: Estimated 0.5 to 1 work month/ person for the pre-plan, plus administrative support

Assumptions: New science activities must be identified early to be of value to the RMP revision process, and the role of scientists in RMP revisions should be explicitly considered early in the RMP revision process.

The team leader and technical coordinator will assure that inter-team coordination is ongoing and that any needs for scientific studies from the other teams are fully considered by the Science team.

## 5. Data Management

Task assignment and time line:

Provide the preplan components for use of applicable existing and potential data bases that will or may support the plan by display of the existing management situation and ongoing management direction, assist in defining issues, determine opportunities for use of analyses tools or methodologies and help the Preplan Core team define the data needed for the anticipated range of alternatives to be described in the preplan, especially Appendices A, B and C, for the Project Manager by December 20, 2003, the revised components by January 30 and the final components by February 27, to support the anticipated March 30, 2004 approval of the preplan. Long-term support in updating and modifying the data management strategy, including interfacing with the communications plan, assisting in posting or publishing pertinent documents and reports is expected to continue through 2008.

Significant Guidance: H-1601-1, Appendices A-G; IB No. 2003-058; IM No. 2003-020, IM No. 2003-238; IM No, 2002-202

Team Leader: Emily Rice, Eugene

Technical Coordinator: Duane Dippon, OR-932

Team Members: Robert Pierle, Medford  
Alan Ward, Coos Bay  
Stan Frazier, David Haney, Jeff Nighbert, and Dan Wickwire, OR-955

### **Existing RMP Allocation and Condition Mapping Sub-Team (No Action Alternative Description)**

Chester Novak, Salem  
Wayne Elliott, Eugene  
Glenn Lahti, Roseburg  
Jim McConnell, Medford  
Alan Ward, Coos Bay  
Don Hoffheins, Klamath Falls  
Chris Cadwell, OR-932

Time requirements: Team lead and core group estimated 1 work month, plus administrative support

Support and sub-team estimated 0.5 work month

Assumptions: Identify data needs and priorities for subsequent development of ASQ calculations and projections of habitat to support the BOs etc. per H-1601-1 Appendices C and G  
In consultation with the Habitat Analysis team, define the metrics to use to measure the minimum T&E affects of different species.

## 6. Records Management

Task assignment and time line:

Prepare preplan schema for records and files by December 20, 2003; assist the preplan team in chapters VI and IX for the preliminary preplan by January 30, 2004 and the revised preplan by February 27, 2004 to support the anticipated March 30, 2004 approval of the preplan.

Long-term support in maintaining, posting and publishing pertinent planning documents, including interfacing with the communications plan and data management teams, is expected to continue through 2008.

Significant Guidance: H-1601-1, Appendices A-E; IB No. 2003-058; IM No. 2002-203; IM No. 2002-202

Team Leader: Lisa Blackburn, OR-958

Team Members: Jim McConnell, Medford  
Cindy Frederickson, OR-958  
Al Wood, Forester, OR-931  
Benny Jackson, OR-933

Time requirements: Estimated 0.5 to 1 work month/ year/ person, plus administrative support

Assumptions: Potential litigation and FOIAs plus long term records retention set standards

Meet or exceed evolving national standards as noted in IM No. 2002-202

## **7. Habitat Analysis**

Task assignment and time line:

Develop recommendations for analyses needs to support the RMP under the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Endangered Species Act, Migratory Bird treaty Act and other applicable policies and guidance; coordinate closely with the Data Management, Silvicultural Practices and Assumptions and Timber Management teams; propose assigned components of the preplan as well as potential planning criteria for the preliminary habitat analysis component of the preplan, especially Chapters IV, V and VI and appendices A, B and C, for the Project Manager by December 20, 2003, the revised components by January 30 and the final components by February 27, to support the anticipated March 30, 2004 approval of the preplan. Long-term support in updating and modifying the habitat analysis, including interfacing with the communications plan, assisting in posting or publishing pertinent documents and reports is expected to continue through 2008.

Significant Guidance: H-1601-1, Appendices A-E; IB No. 2003-058; IM No. 2002-203; IM No. 2002-202

Team Leaders: Lynda Boody, Medford

Technical Coordinator: Barbara Hill, OR-932

Team Members: Jon Raby, Klamath Falls  
Richard Hardt and Nancy Wogan, Eugene  
Christopher Foster, Roseburg  
Jim Keeton, Medford  
Kerrie Palermo, Coos Bay  
Joe Moreau, OR-931  
Leslie Frewing-Runyon, OR-933

Time requirements: Estimated 1-2 work months each, plus administrative support

Current Assumptions:

1. Determine the feasibility and utility of mapping the complete hydrological network with fish presence so the Bureau can display and analyze a complete riparian reserve system for different alternative configurations.
2. Determine the feasibility and utility of modeling and mapping current habitat conditions across BLM lands and across the broader landscape of the O&C lands.

**8. Silvicultural Practices and Timber Management**

Task assignment and time line:

Develop recommendations for common and alternative analytical assumptions to support the RMP revisions under FLPMA and the O&C Act and other applicable policies and procedures; coordinate with the Data Management, Habitat Analysis and Timber Management teams; propose assigned components of the preplan as well as potential planning criteria, especially applicable sections of Chapters IV, V and VI and appendices A, B and C to the Project Manager by December 20, 2003, the revised components by January 30 and the final components by February 27, to support the anticipated March 30, 2004 approval of the preplan. Long-term support in updating and modifying the Silvicultural Practices and Timber Management analysis, including interfacing with the communications plan, assisting in posting or publishing pertinent documents and reports is expected to continue through 2008.

Significant Guidance: H-1601-1, Appendices A-E; IB No. 2003-058; IM No. 2002-202

Team Leader: Lance Nimmo, Medford

Technical Coordinator: Larry Larsen, OR-931

Team Members: Cindy Enstrom, Salem  
Dave DeMoss, Eugene District  
Mark Buckbee, Roseburg  
Jeff Davis, Coos Bay  
Mike Bechdolt, Klamath Falls

Time requirements: Estimated 1-2 workmonths each, complex and evolving throughout RMP

Current Assumptions: The team will identify silvicultural and timber management options, growth & yield functions and, in consultation with the Habitat Analysis team, T&E species habitat requirements and relationships to spatially/temporally arranged forest stands (habitat) that will allow implementation of the O&C Act without endangering or causing jeopardy of any species.

**Allowable Sale Quantity Sub-team**

Task assignment and time line:

Develop recommendations for common and alternative analytical assumptions to support the RMP revisions under FLPMA and the O&C Act and other applicable policies and procedures, within the same or assigned timeframes as the full team. Long-term support is expected to continue through 2008.

Significant Guidance: To be defined and listed by team

Team Leader: Lyndon Werner, OR-931

Team Members: Chris Cadwell, OR-932  
Jim Alegria, OR-932

Time requirements: Estimated 1-2 workmonths each, complex and evolving throughout RMP

Current Assumptions: To be determined by the full Silvicultural and Forest Management team

## 9. Hydrology/Riparian

Task assignment and time line:

Develop recommendations for analyses needs to support the RMP under the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Clean Water Act, **Endangered Species Act**, and other applicable policies and guidance; coordinate closely with the Data Management, Science, Silvicultural Practices, **Habitat Analysis**, and Assumptions and Timber Management teams; propose assigned components of the preplan as well as potential planning criteria for the preplan, especially Chapters IV, V and VI and appendices A, B and C for the Project Manager by December 20, 2003, the revised components by January 30 and the final components by February 27, to support the anticipated March 30, 2004 approval of the preplan. Long-term support in updating and modifying the analysis of water quality, watershed and riparian issues, including interfacing with the communications plan, assisting in posting or publishing pertinent documents and reports is expected to continue through 2008.

Significant Guidance: H-1601-1, Appendices A-E; IB No. 2003-058; IM No. 2002-202

Team Leader: TBA

Technical Coordinator: Rosy Mazaika, OR-932

Team Members: TBA

Time requirements: Estimated 1-2 work month/ person plus administrative support; evolving throughout the RMP process.

Assumptions: New science findings in the areas of hydrology and watershed processes will likely be a key driver of alternative management strategies that will need to be evaluated in the RMP revision process.

This team will provide a focal point for DEQ cooperation on Clean Water Act issues, as laid out in the recent MOU.