

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE PROFILE
TO BE USED FOR RATING PAST PERFORMANCE DURING THE SOURCE SELECTION PROCESS**

I. SOLICITATION IDENTIFICATION (TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CONTRACTING OFFICER)

**OFFEROR NAME:
ADDRESS:**

**SOLICITATION NUMBER:
PROJECT TITLE:
PROJECT LOCATION:**

BRIEF DESCRIPTION:

II. PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (TO BE COMPLETED BY THE EVALUATING OFFICIAL)

Enter a numeric rating for each category below: 1 = Poor; 2 = Fair; 3 = Good; 4 = Excellent; 5 = Outstanding. Detailed comments are required for each rating assigned. See scoring matrix attached.

CATEGORY	RATING
A. QUALITY/TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE:	
B. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT:	
C. TIMELINESS:	
D. COST CONTROL (IF APPLICABLE):	
TOTAL SCORE (SUM OF SCORES FROM EACH CATEGORY)	

III. EVALUATOR INFORMATION

NAME:

OFFICE:

TITLE:

**TELEPHONE NO.:
FAX NO.:**

SIGNATURE:

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

DATE:

RELEASE OF INFORMATION

**SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - Disclosure of the information contained on this form is subject to the policy prescribed in FAR Part 3.104-4 and 42.1503(b).
Form 1510-60A (June 1997)**

**APPENDIX: SCORING MATRIX
(FORM 1510-60 and 1510-60A)**

Use the following matrix to score each of the rating areas in Section II (BLM form 1510-60 & 60(a), and Section V(BLM form 1510-60). Ensure these scores are consistent with any other Agency assessments made; (e.g. for payment of fee purposes).

AREA	A. QUALITY/ TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE	B. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT	C. TIMELINESS	D. COST CONTROL
	-Contractor QA program -Amount of Government contract administration -Conformance to contract requirements	-Labor compliance -Safety -Reasonable and cooperative -Responsive to contract requirements -Prompt notification of problems -Pro-active -Effective contractor recommended solutions	-Adherence to schedules -Submittals -Milestones met	-Forecasting and controlling costs.
RATING	*****	*****	*****	*****
1=Poor	Nonconformances have major impact on achievement of contract requirements	Response to inquiries, technical/administrative issues is not effective and responsive	Major or minor frequent delays	Cost issues have major impact on achievement of contract requirements
2=Fair	Nonconformances have minor impact on achievement of contract requirements	Response to inquiries, technical/administrative issues is somewhat effective and responsive	Minor delays	Cost issues have minor impact on achievement of contract requirements
3=Good (Meets requirements)	Contract requirements achieved, standards met	Response to inquiries, technical/administrative issues is usually effective and responsive	Schedules met	Expenditures are within budget
4=Excellent	Exceeds standards expected	Response to inquiries, technical/administrative issues is effective and responsive	Schedules met or exceeded	Cost savings realized
5=Outstanding	The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in any of the above five categories that justifies adding a point to the score. Used only when contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".			

(June 1997)